## A Number Theory

# Problem from Hungarian Math Olympiad 

## MURALIDHAR RAO \& PARINITHA M

In this article we discuss a solution to a Number Theory Problem, which was given in the 80th Eötvös-Kürschák Competition, 1980 [1].

Problem. Let $n>1$ be an odd integer. Prove that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive integers $x$ and $y$ satisfying

$$
\frac{4}{n}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}
$$

is that $n$ has a prime divisor of the form $4 k-1$.

Solution. We first prove that the given condition is sufficient. (This is the easy part.)

Assume that $n$ has a prime divisor of the form $4 k-1$. Then there exists a positive integer $m$ such that

$$
n=(4 k-1) m .
$$

We now have:

$$
\frac{4}{n}=\frac{4}{(4 k-1) m}=\frac{(4 k-1)+1}{k(4 k-1) m}=\frac{1}{k m}+\frac{1}{k(4 k-1) m} .
$$

Thus we can take $x=k m, y=k(4 k-1) m$; they are positive integers satisfying the given equation.

Next we prove that the condition is necessary.
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Assume that for the odd integer $n>1$ there exist positive integers $x$ and $y$ such that

$$
\frac{4}{n}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y} .
$$

We shall prove that $n$ has a prime divisor of the form $4 k-1$.
Let $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=d$. Then there exist positive integers $u$ and $v$ such that $x=d u$ and $y=d v$, and $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1$ (i.e., $u, v$ are coprime).
Then from the equality

$$
\frac{4}{n}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{4 x y}{x+y}=\frac{4 d(u v)}{u+v} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove that $\operatorname{gcd}(u v, u+v)=1$.
Let $p$ be a prime factor of $u v$ and $u+v$. Since $p$ is a divisor of $u v$, it must divide either $u$ or $v$. Let us suppose that $p$ is a divisor of $u$. Since $p$ is a divisor of $u$ as well as $u+v$, it must be that $p$ is a divisor of $v$ too. But this contradicts our supposition that $u$ and $v$ are coprime. Likewise if $p$ is a divisor of $v$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}(u v, u+v)=1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(u+v)=4 d(u v) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, 4 is a divisor of $n(u+v)$. Since $n$ is odd we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \text { is a divisor of } u+v \text {. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4) it follows that $u, v$ are either both odd or both even. They cannot both be even as $u, v$ are coprime. Hence $u, v$ are both odd.

Further, since 4 is a divisor of $u+v$, it follows that one of $u, v$ is of the form $4 a-1$ while the other is of the form $4 b+1$. From this we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u v \equiv-1(\bmod 4) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $u v$ has a prime divisor $p$ of the form $4 k-1$. It follows from (3) that $p$ divides $n(u+v)$.
But since $\operatorname{gcd}(u v, u+v)=1$, it follows that $p$ does not divide $u+v$. From this it follows that $p$ divides $n$. We have thus shown that $n$ has a prime divisor $p$ of the form $4 k-1$, as required.

Another argument to prove that the given condition is necessary (contributed by the second author). For this, we shall assume that all the prime factors of $n$ are of the form $1(\bmod 4)$. From this we proceed to derive a contradiction.

Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)$ so that $x=d u$ and $y=d v$ where $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1$.

We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 x y=n(x+y), \quad \therefore 4 x y=n d(u+v), \quad \therefore 4\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) y=n(u+v), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $4 u y=n(u+v) . \operatorname{As} \operatorname{gcd}(n, 4)=1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+v \equiv 0(\bmod 4) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from $4 u y=n(u+v)$, it follows that $u$ is a factor of $n(u+v)$.
Since $\operatorname{gcd}(u, u+v)=1$, it follows that $u$ is a factor of $n$.
Similarly, we conclude that $v$ is a factor of $n$. So both $u, v$ are factors of $n$.
By assumption, therefore, $u \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $v \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. From these we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+v \equiv 2(\bmod 4) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (7). We have found the desired contradiction.
It follows that $n$ has a prime divisor of the form $4 k-1$, as required.
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