

Achu's Primes - A Special Class of Weak Primes

SASIKUMAR K

Twin primes. Pairs of prime numbers that differ by 2 are referred to as *twin prime pairs* or simply *twin primes*. Examples of twin prime pairs are $\{3, 5\}$, $\{5, 7\}$, $\{11, 13\}$, $\{17, 19\}$, $\{29, 31\}$, $\{41, 43\}$, $\{59, 61\}$ and $\{71, 73\}$.

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many twin prime pairs. This is known as the *Twin Prime Conjecture*.

The question of whether there exist infinitely many such pairs has been studied for many centuries but it remains open.

It is clear that every prime number beyond 3 must be of one of the forms $6k \pm 1$. This is so because any number exceeding 3 and of any of the forms $6k$, $6k + 2$, $6k + 3$, $6k + 4$ is composite. This implies that every twin prime pair other than $\{3, 5\}$ is of the form $\{6k - 1, 6k + 1\}$ where k is a positive integer.

From this follows a simple claim:

Lemma 1. *If $p \geq 7$, then $p - 2, p, p + 2$ cannot be an arithmetic progression of primes.*

This is true because if $p \geq 7$ and $\{p - 2, p\}$ is a twin prime pair, then $p + 2$ is necessarily a multiple of 3 and hence composite. \square

In the definition below, $[z]$ refers to the greatest integer not exceeding z .

Definition 1. A prime number p is said to be an *Achu's prime* if $\left[\frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right]$ is a prime number.

Keywords: Primes, twin primes, weak primes, Achu's primes

For example, 2, 3 and 7 are Achu's primes because

$$\left[\frac{2^2 + 4}{2 + 2} \right] = 2, \quad \left[\frac{3^2 + 4}{3 + 2} \right] = 2, \quad \left[\frac{7^2 + 4}{7 + 2} \right] = 5$$

are all primes. But 5 is not an Achu's prime because

$$\left[\frac{5^2 + 4}{5 + 2} \right] = 4$$

is not prime. Here are the Achu's primes below 1000:

2,	3,	7,	13,	19,	31,	43,	61,	73,
103,	109,	139,	151,	181,	193,	199,	229,	241,
271,	283,	313,	349,	421,	433,	463,	523,	571,
601,	619,	643,	661,	811,	823,	829,	859,	883.

As of now, the largest known Achu's prime is the following number:

$$2996863034895 \times 2^{1290000} + 1.$$

Lemma 2. *If $p \geq 7$, then $\left[\frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right] = p - 2$.*

Note that p does not have to be a prime number for this relation to be true.

Proof of Lemma 2. We only need to show the following: if $p \geq 7$ then

$$p - 2 < \frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} < p - 1.$$

The inequality on the left side is equivalent to

$$p^2 - 4 < p^2 + 4,$$

and this is clearly true for all p .

The inequality on the right side is equivalent to

$$p^2 + 4 < p^2 + p - 2,$$

i.e., to $6 < p$, and this is true by supposition, as $p \geq 7$. Hence the statement is true. □

Here is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1. A prime number $p \geq 7$ is an Achu's prime if and only if $\{p - 2, p\}$ is a twin prime pair.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that a prime number $p \geq 7$ is an Achu's prime. Then $\left[\frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right]$ is a prime number (by definition).

By Lemma 2, $\left[\frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right] = p - 2$. So both $p - 2$ and p are prime numbers, i.e., $\{p - 2, p\}$ is a twin prime pair.

Next, suppose that $\{p - 2, p\}$ is a twin prime pair. Then it means that $\left\lceil \frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right\rceil$ is a prime number. But $\left\lceil \frac{p^2 + 4}{p + 2} \right\rceil = p - 2$. So p is an Achu's prime. \square

Definition 2. A prime number is said to be *weak* if it is less than the arithmetic mean of the two prime numbers immediately below and above it.

That is if

$$p_1 = 2, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_n, p_{n+1}, \dots$$

is the sequence of prime numbers, then p_k is a weak prime if the following inequality is true:

$$p_k < \frac{p_{k-1} + p_{k+1}}{2}.$$

Here are all the weak primes below 1000:

3,	7,	13,	19,	23,	31,	43,	47,	61,
73,	83,	89,	103,	109,	113,	131,	139,	151,
167,	181,	193,	199,	229,	233,	241,	271,	283,
293,	313,	317,	337,	349,	353,	359,	383,	389,
401,	409,	421,	433,	443,	449,	463,	467,	491,
503,	509,	523,	547,	571,	577,	601,	619,	643,
647,	661,	677,	683,	691,	709,	743,	761,	773,
797,	811,	823,	829,	839,	859,	863,	883,	887,
911,	919,	941,	953,	971,	983,	997,		

Theorem 2. If $p \geq 7$ is an Achu's prime, then p is a weak prime.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $p \geq 7$ be an Achu's prime, and let q and r be the two prime numbers immediately below it and above p .

Using the results already established, we have $q = p - 2$.

Since $p \geq 7$ and $p - 2$ and p are prime numbers, $p + 2$ cannot be a prime number. Hence $r > p + 2$.

It follows that $q + r > (p - 2) + (p + 2)$, i.e., $q + r > 2p$, which tells us that p is a weak prime. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Concluding remarks.

- (1) All Achu's primes can be obtained as the maximum of twin prime pairs.
- (2) If there are infinitely many twin prime pairs, then there are infinitely many Achu's primes.



SASIKUMAR K is presently working as a PG Teacher in Mathematics at Jawahar Navodaya Vidyalaya, North Goa. He completed his M Phil under the guidance of Dr.K.S.S. Nambooripad. Earlier, he worked as a maths Olympiad trainer for students of Navodaya Vidyalaya Samiti in Hyderabad. He has research interests in Real Analysis and Commutative Algebra. He may be contacted at 112358.ganitham@gmail.com.