# **ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH**

### CLIMATE

#### **ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS**

## Climate change: the missing discourse in the Indian Parliament

To cite this article before publication: Seema Mundoli et al 2022 Environ. Res.: Climate in press https://doi.org/10.1088/2752-5295/ac7d67

#### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2022 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/3.0">https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/3.0</a>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

1	Climate change: The missing discourse in the Indian Parliament
2	Seema Mundoli <sup>1</sup> , Zubin Jacob <sup>1</sup> , Ranjini Murali <sup>1,2</sup> , Harini Nagendra <sup>1</sup>

- 1. Centre for Climate Change and Sustainability, Azim Premji University, Bangalore,
- 5 India
- 6 2. The Snow Leopard Trust, India

- Corresponding Author: Ranjini@snowleopard.org
- 233, 2<sup>nd</sup> cross, 12 main, 4<sup>th</sup> block, Koramangala, Bangalore 560034

#### **Abstract**

Parliamentary Questions (PQs) are a crucial oversight tool available to parliamentarians in all democracies. In a well-functioning democracy, parliamentary oversight can play an important role in climate change policy, ensuring that climate concerns are represented in national agendas. India is the largest democracy in the world and one of the countries most vulnerable to climate change. Over a twenty-year period, from 1999-2019, we examine whether parliamentarians used PQs to address climate change issues in India. We asked four questions 1) how often are PQs raised about climate change? 2) are vulnerable constituency interests being represented in the Parliament? 3) what kinds of questions do parliamentarians ask? and 4) where do parliamentarians get their information on climate change from? 895 unique PQs related to climate change were raised by 1019 Ministers, forming only a fraction (~0.3%) of the total PQs asked in parliament during this period, however the number of PQs related to climate change increased over time. PQs were not raised by the states most vulnerable to climate change, nor did they represent the concerns of socially vulnerable groups. The PQs were mostly concerned about the impacts (27.6%) and mitigation (23.4%) of climate change. Impacts on agriculture (38.3%), coastal changes (28.6 %), and health (13.4%) were of main interest, along with mitigation issues related to energy (43.6%), agriculture (21.8%), and aviation (9.1%). Despite the significant and growing vulnerability of India to climate change, PQs related to climate change were largely missing. Although they have increased over time, we still find there is substantial room for growth, especially in critical areas of climate justice and adaptation that are especially relevant to the Indian context. Raising the level of parliamentary debate on climate change is critical and needs to be foregrounded.

**Keywords:** Democracy, Oversight tool, Parliamentary Questions, Climate 48 Vulnerability, Climate impact, Climate mitigation, Climate adaptation

#### Introduction

The climate crisis is one of the biggest challenges facing the earth today, with catastrophic potential impacts on human and natural systems (IPCC 2021). Addressing this challenge requires multi-level governance especially at global, national, sub-national and regional levels. At the global level, climate agreements and treaties negotiate terms for countries to curb emissions (for example, The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) 1992, Kyoto Protocol 1997, Paris Agreement 2015). While the efficacy of these treaties and agreements in reducing global emissions are contested, they have been important in keeping climate change issues on the global policy agenda (Kinley et al. 2021). Equally important is the national level of governance, where the role of the government includes functions, such as creating national climate frameworks, national laws, policies, setting standards for key climate-related sectors, and providing regional funding and support (Eskander and Frankhauser 2020). While the nature of climate change mitigation is predominantly global, impacts are primarily felt at a local scale, and adaptation is often primarily local (Di Gregorio et al. 2019). This makes it imperative for regional and local representation to play a role in the making of climate policies. 44.9% of the world's countries, comprising 49.4% of the world's population, live in democracies, whether full or flawed (Economist Intelligence Unit 2020). Understanding if local and regional nuances of climate change find voice in a parliamentary democracy is an especially important question for contemporary climate

policy. The Parliament is considered the central institution of democracy and embodies the will of the people and carries out all their expectations (Holmberg et. al. 2017). It is a legislative organ whose job is to scrutinize the actions of the government (Leston-Bandiera and Thompson 2018). A functioning Parliament can ensure oversight of the representation of relevant climate issues in national government policy and agenda (Fitsilis and De Vrieze 2020). The job of the Parliament to hold the government accountable to its citizens is performed through oversight tools (Pelizzo and Stapenhurst 2013, Bundi 2017). A critical oversight tool is the use of Parliamentary Questions (PQ), which exists in all parliamentary democracies (Russo and Wiberg 2010). PQs are a crucial instrument for parliamentarians to voice their concerns and represent electoral interests, demand information from the government, and prepare legislative acts (Bailer 2011, Martin 2011). PQs can be used as a metric for the government to gauge public mood and adapt policies and actions accordingly (Sen et. al. 2019). As such, PQs have been used to explore the relationship between media coverage and the Parliament (Datta 2008, Van Santen 2015), parliamentarian concerns related to gender (Bird 2005), tobacco (Varma 2021), crime and unemployment (Borghetto et al. 2020), and issues related to science and technology (Haritash and Gupta 2002). India is considered the largest democracy in the world and faces some of the highest climate and disaster risk levels in the world, ranked 29 out of 191 countries (Inform Report 2019). India is particularly vulnerable to climate change due to the geographic size of the country, its diverse climatic conditions, and its large population (Dubash 2012; Mehta et al. 2019). Further, different sections of society are likely to be impacted differently based on factors such as economic status, social status, gender, and location (Islam and Winkel 2017).

#### 1.1 India and Climate Change

India adopted the "National Action Plan on Climate Change" in 2008. Considered the country's flagship climate change legislation, it outlines policies and initiatives directed at mitigation, adaptation, and energy efficiency (Chandel et.al. 2016). Specific missions have also been created to target sectors vulnerable to climate change. India has also established State Action Plans on Climate Change, where each state is expected to tailor an action plan based on its sense of vulnerabilities and opportunities (Jogesh and Paul 2020). Despite state-specific plans, action on climate change at the state level is guided by a strong top-down approach that takes its cues from the central government (Bhardwaj and Khosla 2020). Monitoring climate action in different states and union territories, each with their own unique vulnerabilities is difficult for the centre and there may arise situations where pressing issues do not receive the attention they require (Jogesh and Dubash 2015).

#### 1.2 Structure of the Indian Parliament

The Indian Parliament is bicameral in nature, with two houses of representatives—the Lok Sabha (House of the People), which consists of representatives elected directly by the people and the Rajya Sabha (House of the State), whose representatives are elected indirectly (Hewitt and Rai 2010). Though the word is not used explicitly in the Constitution, India has a federal structure of government in which the central government constitutes the highest authority in the country and state governments operate in the periphery, governing the states in the country (Jayal 2007). India consists of 28 states and 8 union territories. State governments govern states, while the centre directly governs union territories. The Constitution describes a clear division of powers between the centre and state (dual polity), in terms of legislative,

- administrative and financial functions and both the centre and state operate supreme,
- in their respective spheres of governance (Tillin 2019).
- 122 1.3 The PQs in India

- PQs are asked every morning, during the first hour, when the parliament is in session (Datta 2008). During this period, Members of Parliament (MP) from different political parties raise questions on all matters relating to administration and government activity, which the government answers through its ministers. In addition to providing a satisfactory answer to questions posed, ministries are also compelled to take into consideration the inputs of MPs into the law-making process, failing which, they could potentially lose the confidence of the house (Datta 2008). In this manner, oversight guarantees that the government is held accountable.
- 131 1.4 Research Questions
- In this study we raised four questions about the role of PQs in India with regard to climate change:
  - 1) How often are PQs raised about climate change?
  - 2) Are vulnerable constituency interests being represented in the Parliament? For this question we specifically tested two hypotheses a) MPs from vulnerable states ask more PQs and b) women MPs ask more PQs as women constituents are more vulnerable to climate impacts.
  - 3) What kinds of questions do parliamentarians ask?
  - 4) And finally, a question of increasing policy relevance for academics where do parliamentarians get their information on climate change from?

#### 2. Methods

2.1 Data collation

We collated a comprehensive list of PQs related to climate change, asked in the Lok Sabha from 1999-2019, using the Parliamentary Questions Data Portal, a repository of PQs from the same period. The Parliamentary Questions Data Portal is a project of the Trivedi Centre for Political Data, led by faculty members from the Ashoka University (Trivedi Centre for Political Data 2021). The dataset which is completely open source is an extremely useful tool to access questions asked in the Lok Sabha, as the data has been cleaned and additional information on the Minister's asking the questions provided. The search tool makes it easy to filter questions based on the area of interest. PQs were filtered from the database using specific keywords. A long list of 30 keywords related to climate change were initially tested (Adaptation, Carbon, Climate, Disaster, Drought, Extreme, Extreme Event, Forest, Fossil Fuels, Greenhouse, Green power, Heat, Kyoto, Kyoto Protocol, IPCC, REDD, Renewables, Sustainable, Sustainable Development, Vulnerability, Warm, Weather, Mitigation, Environment, Deforestation, Biodiversity, Pollution, Epidemic, Methane, and Nitrous Oxide). Each keyword was tested individually, and the PQs checked manually for relevant results, i.e PQs that related to climate change such as impacts, mitigation, action, seeking more information, etc.. The long-list of keywords was initially created based on what we thought we the most common terms associated with climate change. The long-list was further modified based on the common words that appeared in the PQs about climate change. Through this process, the final short list of keywords was created. Eight keywords were found to yield the most relevant search results – "Climate", "Adapt", "Carbon", "Fossil fuel", "Green power", "IPCC", "Kyoto" and "Warm". A total of

1,421 PQs were initially obtained. The PQs were then manually checked for relevance, and those not related to climate change or duplicates were removed. The state "Telangana" was excluded from the analysis as it is a newly created state, which was separated from the state Andhra Pradesh in 2014. There were only 4 PQs put forth by MPs from Telangana during the study period. The final dataset had 895 questions. The PQs were sorted chronologically (based on the date the PQ was asked). For each PQ, the following additional information was also collected: search term, date, PQ, answer to the PQ, ministry, name of MP, gender of MP, political party, state of MP and constituency of MP.

#### 2.2 Data Analysis

- Mixed methods (qualitative and quantitative) were used for the analysis. We first describe the quantitative analysis used to answer research questions 1 and 2, and then describe the qualitative analysis for research questions 3 and 4.
- 181 2.2.1 Quantitative Analysis
- To analyze how frequently PQs were raised in parliament, the number of PQs were plotted against the year.
  - For the second research question, the two hypotheses were tested using generalized linear model (GLM): a) MPs from vulnerable states ask more PQs and b) women MPs ask more PQs as women constituents are more vulnerable to climate impacts. The response variable was the number of PQs asked by MPs in parliament, and the explanatory variables were the year the PQ was asked, gender of the MP, climate vulnerability of the state, and the number of sitting MPs from each state. The data was first sorted, cleaned, and then the model was run.

For this analysis, each MP was treated as a single unit of analysis. In some cases, multiple MPs asked the same PQ. In such cases, for our analysis, each MP was treated independently. The number of questions asked by one MP in one year was aggregated. For example, if an MP asked 5 PQs in 2000, the number of PQs asked was 5. The name of the MP, gender, state, and constituency was obtained from Parliamentary Questions Data Portal. In 9 instances, either the state or the gender of the MP was not provided in the database. We removed these entries.

To determine if climate vulnerable groups had representation in parliament, we explored how many PQs were asked based on the background of the MP. Class, caste, and indigenous status of the MP were difficult to obtain, so we restricted this to the gender of the MP.

The climate vulnerability for each state was obtained from Dasgupta et al. 2020 where relative climate vulnerabilities of the states were assessed through an integrated vulnerability assessment (based on biophysical, socio-economic, and institution and infrastructure-related vulnerability indicators). Vulnerability was conceptualized based on the IPCC-AR5 framework. Climate vulnerability for the union territories was not available. We removed the MP's from union territories who asked questions (17 entries). The final database had 1019 entries after cleaning.

The explanatory variables (the year the PQ was asked, climate vulnerability of the state, and the number of sitting MPs from each state) were scaled before running the models. Table 1 provides details of the variables used in the model. We were unable to obtain information on the MPs who did not ask questions (that is 0 data) as the

database did not include this information. Therefore, the models were only run on the MPs who asked questions.

We used GLMs with a negative binomial distribution due to overdispersion of data (Ver Hoef and Boveng 2007) to determine the influence of state climate vulnerability, gender of the MP, sitting members of parliament in each state, and the year on the number of PQs asked by MPs in Parliament.

No interaction was envisioned between the terms. Model selection was undertaken by calculating the Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) (Crawley 2007). All data were analyzed in the statistical software R (R Development Core Team 2022)

#### 2.2.2 Qualitative Analysis

For research questions 3 and 4, the analysis was conducted at the level of the PQs. There were a total of 895 PQs. The PQs were qualitatively analysed using Inductive Content Analysis. Each PQ was treated as a single unit of analysis and was manually coded as follows: "Sector", "Source", "Climate Change Aspect", "Location", and "Social Vulnerability". The definitions and categories of codes used specifically in this paper are provided in Table 2. To check for inter-coder reliability, Kappa scores were calculated for each category. ZJ was the primary coder, and RM and SM were the secondary coders. The secondary coder coded for 50 questions. Kappa scores ranged from 0.80 to 0.95, with a mean of 0.89. These scores indicate there was 64% to 84% agreement, which is considered strong (McHugh 2012).

#### 3. Results

3.1. How often are PQs raised about climate change?

A total of 895 unique PQs related to climate change were asked between 1999-2020. This is only a very small percentage (~0.3%) of the total number of PQs asked during the study period. Overall, we found a trend towards more questions on climate change over time, though with some ups and downs. The highest number of questions (104 questions) were asked in 2015. The largest spike in questions was in 2007, where the number of PQs jumped from eight asked in 2006 to 53 asked in 2007 (Figure 1).

3.2. Are vulnerable constituency interests being represented in the Parliament?

MPs from 26 states and 5 union territories raised PQs in the study period. In total, 1019 MPs asked PQs (in some cases multiple MPs raised the same PQs). MPs that asked the most PQs were from Maharashtra (181), Andhra Pradesh (105), Tamil Nadu (99), Uttar Pradesh (98), and Kerala (69), and the MPs from states that asked the least questions were Mizoram (0), Manipur, Meghalaya, and Punjab (2 each). A total of 92 women MPs asked 117 PQs and 927 men MPs asked 1245 PQs.

No relationship was observed between climate vulnerability of the states (coefficient = -0.01, p = 0.7, n=1018), gender of the MP (coefficient = 0.05, p = 0.6, n=1018), and sitting MPs in Parliament (coefficient = -0.02, p = 0.5, n=1018). Infact, the null model (questions ~ 1), was the best performing model (AIC = 2101.91). This indicates that none of the explanatory variables in the global model were able to explain the variation.

Based on this, we reject both the hypotheses which are a) MPs from vulnerable states ask more questions and b) women MPs ask more questions.

3.3 What kinds of questions do parliamentarians ask?

635 or 71% of the PQs could be coded with a 'climate change aspect', i.e. they provided enough information for us to categorize them into groups, depending on whether the PQ focused on impacts, mitigation, or adaptation aspects of climate change. Impacts of climate change were mentioned the most (27.6%), followed by mitigation (23.5%). In contrast adaptation received very little attention, being mentioned in just 3.9% of the PQs (Table 3).

The PQs were most concerned about the impacts of climate change on agriculture (38.3%), coastal changes (28.6 %), and health (13.4%) (Table 4). Questions on agriculture were largely focused on specific crops. Coastal sector impacts were a concern as early as 2007 and persisted into 2018.

The impacts of climate change on the socially or economically vulnerable were represented in 0.007% of the PQs (6 PQs). Of these, one PQ asked about the impact of climate change on women, one asked about the impact of climate change on indigenous communities, and four PQs on the economically disadvantaged in the country (two PQs of the impacts of climate change, one PQ on adaptation, and one PQ on the potential unequal distribution of adaptation measures). There were no questions related to differential impacts based on caste.

PQs related to mitigation focused most on energy (43.6%), followed by agriculture (21.8%), and aviation (9.1%), seeking to understand how carbon emissions could be reduced, and the use of new technologies to reduce emissions.

Adaptation, asked in only 21 PQs, focused primarily on agriculture (14 PQS), followed by energy, coastal areas, water, and industry (1 PQ each). Adaptation PQs on

agriculture ranged from the use of climate resilient technologies to the need to create awareness among farmers, and specific funds set up for adaptation.

The questions also asked for details on measures taken by the government for adaptation in terms investment in green technology, or commitments to through different schemes and funds, sometimes focusing on certain geographically vulnerable areas such as the hilly North-East of India.

3.4 Where do parliamentarians get their information from?

Ministers referred to a source for their information on climate change in only 10%, i.e. 91 questions of the PQs asked (Table 3). Studies (60%) were the most cited sources. The most mentioned reports were from IPCC (n=8), the United Nations (n=5) and the World Bank (n=4). The reports referenced included those by academic institutions as well as by civil society organizations, and covered issues of global warming, greenhouse gas emissions and agriculture, as well as health and disease spread,

dangers to glaciers, forest cover, and impact on heritage monuments.

Newspaper articles (22%) were the next most cited sources. The Times of India (8 PQs), The Hindustan Times (4 PQs) and The Hindu (3 PQs) were the newspapers that were quoted the most times in the PQs. The most cited articles were those that reported on an event like a seminar organized or a report release, or drew from a headline in the newspaper. Other quoted sources include specific institutes as sources (5 PQs). One PQ drew on an international agreement. Institutions cited as sources included national institutions such as the National Agricultural Research Institute and global institutions such as the Global Forest Resource Association.

#### 4. Discussion

This study largely highlights the missing discourse about climate change from the Indian Parliamentary Question Hour. India is one of the most vulnerable countries to climate change. According to the Global Climate Risk Index, in 2019, India was one of the 10 most affected countries due to extreme weather events (Eckstein et al 2021). Yet, we found that PQs about climate change were rarely raised in parliament, indicating that this form of parliamentary oversight is severely under-utilized. On the positive side, the number of PQs on climate change have increased over time, yet with a peak in 2015 – after which there is no steady increase.

The number of PQs MP's raised in parliament were neither influenced by the climate vulnerability of their state nor their gender. Among the PQs asked in parliament, MPs were most concerned about the impacts of climate change on agriculture, the coast, and health. PQs on mitigation were focused on energy, agriculture, and aviation sectors. The impacts of climate change on the socially and economically disadvantaged groups of society were rarely mentioned, as were PQs related to adaptation to climate change. MPs received most of their information on climate change from studies and reports, and newspaper articles.

#### 4.1 What influences PQs on climate change in the Indian Parliament?

PQs on climate change in the Indian Parliament seemed largely related to external political events, for example, 2007 saw the sharpest increase in PQs, which was the year that preceded the launch of the National Action Plan on Climate Change. Also, the highest number of questions (104 questions) were asked in 2015—the year that followed the renaming of the "Ministry of Environment and Forests" to the "Ministry of

Environment Forests and Climate Change" with an accordingly expanded portfolio (Economic Times Bureau, 2014).

While country vulnerability to climate change does not seem to have led to an increase in PQs on this topic, state vulnerability also does not seem to be an important driving factor that stimulates questions. Parliamentarians from states with higher climate vulnerability did not ask more PQs, as we might expect. Similarly, neither did gender influence the number of PQs asked. However, because the percentage of women in parliament ranged from only 3% to 11% (in the 2014 term, Ahmed 2018) most of the questions related to climate change were asked by men, probably also accounting for a lack of focus on impacts of climate change on women.

Over the last twenty years there has been a significant rise in extreme weather events (floods, cyclones, heat waves, cold waves) in India which has had severe impacts on human lives and livelihoods (Ray et al. 2021). However, the spikes in the questions did not correspond to the years that especially severe weather-related disasters occurred. Similarly, the years that states recorded particularly devastating weather events, did not correspond to a rise in PQs on climate change. For example, from 2018, Kerala has been witnessing devastating floods every year, but this has not been captured in the PQs from MPs from Kerala.

The political party of the MP could be potentially influencing PQs. The states where the MPs were from the opposition party could have asked more PQs as seen from other studies on PQs in India (Ojha and Mishra 2010; Jacob 2014).

It is likely that one of the reasons for the low representation of PQs about climate change in parliament is that climate change does not influence voting behavior. Identity politics, which include religion, is one of the important drivers of voting

behavior in India (Gaikwad 2018). This is perhaps in contrast to countries such as the US, and in the EU where civil society action has increased the saliency of the climate action on the political agenda (Nash and Steurer 2021).

However, this was beyond the scope of this study, and future studies could further explore these aspects.

4.2 Impacts of Climate Change on vulnerable groups

Several studies have shown that climate change will have complex intersecting impacts on different sectors of society, whether on women (Yadav and Lal 2018), children (Dimitrova et al. 2020), disadvantaged caste (Goodrich et al. 2019) groups, or the poor. One might expect that parliamentarians from special interest groups – for instance those from indigenous communities, or marginalized caste groups – may ask questions relevant to justice. While we were not able to explore climate issues with respect to other marginalized groups, with respect to gender, women did not ask more PQs than men. However, in total, male MPs asked 10 times the number of questions asked by women MPs during our study period. This was largely due to an unequal representation of women in parliament (Ahmed 2018).

Neither did PQs seem especially interested in exploring issues of socio-economic vulnerability and climate justice. In total, only six PQs focused on differential impacts based on economic and social vulnerability, of which most focused on the differential impacts on the economically disadvantaged. This is a staggering gap considering the importance of caste issues on social justice and access to governmental schemes and policies in India (Dunning and Nilekani 2013). This is in sharp contrast to other PQs in India, which often focus on social welfare especially of historically marginalized

groups (Ojha and Mishra 2010). The MPs background also influences the PQs they ask, with MPs from historically marginalized groups asking PQs on the impacts on their group (Shankar and Rodriguez 2014). In the case of climate change, it is likely that issues of climate justice and differential impacts on India society are still finding voice in parliament. By failing to specifically recognize that climate change is having and will continue to have differential impacts on society, the most vulnerable populations will be the most impacted and will have the least access to climate aid (Sultana 2021).

4.3 Climate impacts, mitigation, and adaptation

Unsurprisingly, PQs of climate impacts largely focused on agriculture as it contributes to about 17% of India's GDP, with about 47% of India's workforce engaged in agriculture activities (Gulati et al. 2018). India's agriculture is especially vulnerable to climate change (Dubey and Sharma 2018) – it is not surprising that this is an area of importance for parliamentarians, whose constituencies are largely rural, with 69% of India still living outside cities in areas were agriculture is of major importance.

Coastal areas were another sector of concern likely because three of the seven largest Indian cities - Mumbai, Chennai and Kolkata – are located on the coast, and therefore especially vulnerable to sea level rise (Khosla and Bhardwaj 2019). Concerns about fishing livelihoods also exist due to an increase in coastal climate disasters over the years (Sarkar and Borah 2018). Apart from health impacts of climate change, other impacts such as mental health issues, or water stress, do not appear to figure on parliamentarians' minds, however – despite their growing importance in the Indian scenario and globally (Mehran et al. 2017; Obradovich et al. 2018).

PQs on mitigation seemed to be more techno-managerial in focus, seeking to understand energy and agricultural policies, for instance – part of a larger trend that has been noted by other researchers in South Asia (Stock et al. 2021).

The lack of focus on adaptation is puzzling especially as it is perhaps one of the most important areas of concern for India in future decades. A similar lack of focus on adaptation has also been demonstrated both in media (Keller et al. 2020) and research (Vij et al 2017). Raising the level of parliamentary debate on adaptation is critical and needs to be foregrounded.

#### 4.4 Sources of climate information

Media can perhaps play a more influential role here. Parliamentarians referred to a source for their information on climate change in 10% questions of the PQs asked. Reports covered in the media, seemed to stimulate PQs, indicating that increased media coverage of climate change issues may help stimulate greater parliamentary discussion of critical climate change issues, and driver greater governmental accountability. Media plays a critical role in shaping and reflecting public opinion, and as such, is known to be an important influencer in shaping political debate (Gavin 2018). The coverage of climate change issues in Indian print media has increased substantially over the past 15 years, with the greatest increase in reportage coming from the area of climate change impacts (Keller et al. 2020) – this is also where most PQs on climate change tend to focus.

### 4.5 Limitations of the study

The data retrieval was based on the keywords that we chose based on our prior knowledge which was further refined based on the PQs. It is likely that we might have missed some keywords, which could have provided more PQs. However, we think that this is likely to be a small number and would not influence our findings.

A major limitation of our study was for the MPs, we only included MPs who asked PQs. We do not have data from MPs who did not ask PQs. This is likely to influence the analysis of research question 2, as zero data has not been included. However, this data was very difficult to retrieve and could not be used in the analysis.

In this study we focused on just climate vulnerability of each state and gender as potentially influencing PQs. However, there could be a range of other factors such as political affiliation, major weather or political events, upcoming elections, that could influence PQs. Future studies could perhaps look more closely into the motivations for MPs to ask PQs on climate change.

#### 5. Conclusion

Climate change is one of the biggest game changers facing the world today. India, with its high population density, substantial urban coastal population exposed to climate extreme events, and strong dependence on climate-vulnerable sectors such as agriculture for livelihoods and food security, needs to gear up to cope with a climate emergency that is at its doorstep. In the world's largest democracy, the Indian parliament plays a critical role in shaping Indian policies on climate change. In functioning democracies like India, parliamentary questions are a critical oversight tool that enable parliamentarians to ask questions of legislative and policy importance, and to raise issues relevant to their local constituencies.

Despite the importance of climate change for India's future, we find that PQs on climate change represent a very small fraction of all PQs in India over the past decade. Further, despite the fact that climate impacts are largely local, our findings indicate that members of parliament from the most climate vulnerable states are not asking questions on climate change. It is surprising to see issues of climate justice, and of differential climate impacts on especially vulnerable constituencies including women, children, and the poor, are almost completely absent from parliamentary discussions. Our study focused on a few variables, however, to get a deeper understanding of what drives climate discourse in the Indian Parliament, variables such as political party affiliations, and state indicators such as education and health, could be included in future analysis.

In conclusion, we find that parliamentary questions on climate change represent a small but could help hold legislature accountable on climate change in India, which is the world's largest democracy, and simultaneously a country especially vulnerable to climate change. We find that the number of PQs on climate issues have increased over time, but there is substantial scope for future growth, especially in critical areas of climate justice, and climate adaptation. Media can potentially play a major influential role in this regard, and this aspect needs to be further explored in future climate policy research.

**Disclosure Statement:** The authors report that there are no competing interests to declare

471 Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Dr. Koustubh Sharma for useful
 472 discussions that helped with the analysis.

#### References

- Ahmed, N. (2018). Women in governing institutions. In South Asia:
   Parliament, civil service and local government. Palgrave Macmillan.
- 2. Bailer, S., 2011. People's voice or information pool? The role of, and reasons for, parliamentary questions in the Swiss parliament. The Journal of Legislative Studies, 17(3): 302-314.
  - 3. Bhardwaj, A. and Khosla, R., 2020. Superimposition: How Indian city bureaucracies are responding to climate change. Environment and Planning E: Nature and Space, 4(3): 1139-1170.
  - 4. Bird, K., 2005. Gendering parliamentary questions. The British Journal of Politics and International Relations, 7(3): 353-370.
  - Borghetto, E., Santana-Pereira, J. and Freire, A., 2020. Parliamentary
     Questions as an Instrument for Geographic Representation: The Hard Case of Portugal. Swiss Political Science Review, 26(1):10-30.
  - 6. Bundi, P., 2017. Varieties of accountability: how attributes of policy fields shape parliamentary oversight. Governance, 31(1):163-183.
  - Carter, C.; Clegg, S.; Wåhlin, N. 2011. When science meets strategic realpolitik: The case of the Copenhagen UN climate change summit. Critical Perspective Account 22: 682–697.
  - 8. Chandel SS, Shrivastva R, Sharma V, Ramasamy P., 2016. Overview of the initiatives in renewable energy sector under the national action plan on

climate change in India.	Renewable Sustainable	Energy Review.	54:866–
873			

- Dasgupta.S., Barua.A., Vyas.S.and Ravindranath.N.H. 2020. Climate
   Vulnerability Assessment for Adaptation Planning in India Using a Common Framework. Department of Science and Technology, Government of India.
- 10. Datta, S., 2008. Television coverage and political voice: Evidence from Parliamentary Question Hour in India. SSRN: 1281627.
- 11. Di Gregorio, M., Fatorelli, L., Paavola, J., Locatelli, B., Pramova, É.,
   Nurrochmat, D.R., May, P.H., Brockhaus, M., Sari, I.M. and Kusumadewi,
   S.D., 2019. Multi-level governance and power in climate change policy
   networks. Global Environmental Change, 54: 64-77.
  - 12. Dimitrova, A., and Muttarak.R., 2020. After the floods: Differential impacts of rainfall anomalies on child stunting in India. Global Environmental Change 64
  - 13. Dubash, N.K., 2012. Handbook of climate change and India. Oxford University Press.
- 14. Dubey, S.K. and Sharma, D., 2018. Assessment of climate change impact on
   yield of major crops in the Banas River Basin, India. Science of the Total
   Environment, 635: 10-19.
  - 15. Dunning, T. and Nilekani, J., 2013. Ethnic quotas and political mobilization: caste, parties, and distribution in Indian village councils. American political Science review 107(1): 35-56.
  - 16. Eckstein, D., Künzel, V. and Schäfer, L., 2021. Global Climate Risk Index 2021. Who Suffers Most from Extreme Weather Events, pp.2000-2019.

17. Economist Intelligence Unit (2020). Democracy Index 2019. A year of
democratic setbacks and popular protest. London: EIU
18. Economic Times Bureau 2014. Ministry of environment and forests undergoe
a nomenclature change; government serious to tackle climate change. The
economic times. Accessed 10 December, 2021. URL:
https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/economy/policy/ministry-of-
environment-and-forests-undergoes-a-nomenclature-change-government-
serious-to-tackle-climate-change/articleshow/35651292.cms
19. Eitan, A., 2021. Promoting Renewable Energy to Cope with Climate
Change—Policy Discourse in Israel. Sustainability, 13(6): 3170.
20. Eskander, S.M. and Fankhauser, S., 2020. Reduction in greenhouse gas
emissions from national climate legislation. Nature Climate Change 10(8):
750-756.
21. Fitsilis, F. and De Vrieze, F., 2020. How parliaments monitor sustainable
development goals–a ground for application of post legislative scrutiny. The
Journal of Legislative Studies, 26(3): 448-468.
22. Gaikwad, 2018. "Identity Politics and Economic Policy", Columbia University
Press, 2018, pp. 1
23. Gavin, N.T., 2018. Media definitely do matter: Brexit, immigration, climate
change and beyond. The British Journal of Politics and International
Relations, 20(4), pp.827-845.

24. Gulati, A., Sharma, P., Samantara, A., and Terway. P., 2018. Agriculture Extension System in India: Review of Current Status, Trends, and the Way

542	Forward. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations
543	(ICRIER). New Delhi.
544	25. Goodrich, C.G., Udas, P.B. and Larrington-Spencer, H., 2019.
545	Conceptualizing gendered vulnerability to climate change in the Hindu Kush
546	Himalaya: Contextual conditions and drivers of change. Environmental
547	Development 31: 9-18.
548	26. Haritash, N. and Gupta, B., 2002. Mapping of S&T issues in the Indian
549	Parliament: A scientometric analysis of questions raised in both Houses of the
550	Parliament. Scientometrics, 54(1): 91-102.
551	27. Holmberg, S., Lindberg, S. and Svensson, R., 2017. Trust in
552	parliament. Journal of Public Affairs 17(1-2):1647.
553	28. Hewitt, V., and Rai.M.S. 2010. Parliament. In: The Oxford Companion to
554	Politics in India. Jayal. N.G and Mehta.B.P (eds). New Delhi: Oxford
555	University Press. Pp. 28-49
556	29. Inform Report 2019. Shared Evidence for managing crises and disasters.
557	30. IPCC, 2021: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2021: The
558	Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
559	Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
560	MassonDelmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N.
561	Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy,
562	J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou

(eds.). Cambridge University Press.

- 31. Islam, N. and J. Winkel, 2017. "Climate Change and Social Inequality", UN

  Department of Economic and Social Affairs (DESA) Working Papers, No. 152,

  UN, New York, <a href="https://doi.org/10.18356/2c62335d-en">https://doi.org/10.18356/2c62335d-en</a>.
- 32. Jayal, N.G., 2007. Democracy in India. OUP Catalogue.
- 33. Jogesh, A. and Dubash, N.K., 2015. State-led experimentation or centrally-motivated replication? A study of state action plans on climate change in India. Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, 12(4): 247-266.
- 34. Jogesh.A., and Paul.M.M., 2020. Ten years after: Evaluating state action plans in India. Science and Culture, 38-45
- 35. Khosla, R. and Bhardwaj, A., 2019. Urbanization in the time of climate change: Examining the response of Indian cities. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change 10*(1): 560.
- 36. Keller, T.R., Hase, V., Thaker, J., Mahl, D. and Schäfer, M.S., 2020. News media coverage of climate change in India 1997–2016: using automated content analysis to assess themes and topics. Environmental Communication 14(2): 219-235.
- 37. Kinley, R., Cutajar, M.Z., de Boer, Y. and Figueres, C., 2021. Beyond good intentions, to urgent action: Former UNFCCC leaders take stock of thirty years of international climate change negotiations. Climate Policy, 21(5): 593-603.
- 38. Leston-Bandeira, C. and Thompson, L., 2018. Exploring parliament. Oxford University Press.

39. Martin, S., 2011. Parliamentary questions, the behaviour of legislators,	and
the function of legislatures: An introduction. The Journal of Legislative	
Studies, 17(3): 259-270.	

- 40. McHugh, M.L., 2012. Interrater reliability: the kappa statistic. Biochemia medica, 22(3): 276-282.
- 41. Mehran, A., Kouchak, A.A., Nakhjiri, N., Stewardson, M.J., Peel. M.C., Phillips, T.J., Wada, Y., and Ravalico. J.K. 2017. Compounding impacts of human-induced water stress and climate change on water availability. Scientific reports 7: 1-9.
- 42. Mehta, L., Srivastava, S., Adam, H.N., Bose, S., Ghosh, U. and Kumar, V.V., 2019. Climate change and uncertainty from 'above' and 'below': perspectives from India. Regional Environmental Change, 19(6): 1533-1547.
- 43. Nash, S.L. and Steurer, R., 2021. Climate Change Acts in Scotland, Austria, Denmark and Sweden: the role of discourse and deliberation. Climate Policy 21(9):1120-1131.
- 44. Obradovich, N., Migliorini, R., Paulus, M.P. and Rahwan, I., 2018. Empirical evidence of mental health risks posed by climate change. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(43):10953-10958.
- 45. Ojha, S. and Mishra, S., 2010. An analysis of parliamentary questions raised by Opposition in the Uttar Pradesh (India) State Legislative
  Assembly. Australasian Parliamentary Review, 25(1): 94-107.
- 46. Pelizzo, R. and Stapenhurst, F., 2013. Parliamentary oversight tools: A comparative analysis. Routledge.

- 47. Peters, G.P.; Andrew, R.M.; Boden, T.; Canadell, J.G.; Ciais, P.; Le Quéré, C.; Marland, G.; Raupach, M.R.; Wilson, C. 2021. The challenge to keep global warming below 2 °C. Nature Climate Change, 3: 4-16.
  - 48.R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.
  - 49. Russo, F. and Wiberg, M., 2010. Parliamentary questioning in 17 European parliaments: Some steps towards comparison. The Journal of Legislative Studies, 16(2): 215-232.
  - 50. Shankar, B.L. and Rodrigues, V., 2014. *The Indian parliament: A democracy at work*. Oxford University Press.
  - 51. Sarkar, U.K. and Borah, B.C., 2018. Flood plain wetland fisheries of India: with special reference to impact of climate change. Wetlands ecology and management 26(1): 1-15.
  - 52. Sen, A., Ghatak, D., Kumar, K., Khanuja, G., Bansal, D., Gupta, M., Rekha, K., Bhogale, S., Trivedi, P. and Seth, A., 2019, July. Studying the discourse on economic policies in India using mass media, social media, and the parliamentary question hour data. In Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGCAS Conference on Computing and Sustainable Societies (pp. 234-247).
  - 53. Stock, R., Vij, S. and Ishtiaque, A., 2021. Powering and puzzling: climate change adaptation policies in Bangladesh and India. Environment,

    Development and Sustainability 23(2):2314-2336
- 54. Sultana, F., 2021. Critical climate justice. The Geographical Journal 188(1):
  118-124.

- 55. Tillin, L., 2019. Indian federalism. Oxford University Press.
- 56. Trivedi Centre for Political Data 2021. Lok Sabha Parliamentary Questions
   Portal (1999-2019). Accessed on June 2020. URL:
- http://lokdhaba.ashoka.edu.in:3003/browse-data
- 57. Van Santen, R., Helfer, L. and Van Aelst, P., 2015. When politics becomes news: An analysis of parliamentary questions and press coverage in three West European countries. Acta Politica, 50(1): 45-63.
  - 58. Varma, A., Chilgod, L. and Bhojani, U., 2021. Diverse and competing interests around tobacco: qualitative analysis of two decades of parliamentary questions in India. BMJ global health, 6(4): 004093.
    - 59. Ver Hoef, J.M. and Boveng, P.L., 2007. Quasi-Poisson vs.negative binomial regression: How should we model overdispersed count data? Ecology 88: 2766–2772.
    - 60. Vij, S., Moors, E., Ahmad, B., Arfanuzzaman, M., Bhadwal, S., Biesbroek, R., Gioli, G., Groot, A., Mallick, D., Regmi, B. and Saeed, B.A., 2017. Climate adaptation approaches and key policy characteristics: Cases from South Asia. Environmental Science & Policy 78: 58-65.
  - 61. Yadav, S.S. and Lal, R., 2018. Vulnerability of women to climate change in arid and semi-arid regions: The case of India and South Asia. Journal of Arid Environments 149: 4-17.
- Table 1: Description of the variables used in the multiple linear regression models to test the
   influence of climate vulnerability of the MPs state and MPs gender on the number of questions
   asked in parliament. PQs = Parlimentary Questions. MP = Minister of Parliament

	I	1			
			Mean ± SE		
Variable Type	Variable Name	Measurement		Median	Unit
Response Variable	No. of PQs asked by MP	Count	1.3 ± 0.02	1	No. of PQs asked in one year
Explanatory Variable	Climate Vulnerability of State	Continuous	0.5 1 ± 0.002	0.51	Climate vulnerability index
Explanatory Variable	Sitting MP's in Parliaremnt	Continuous	35.9 ±0.58	29	Ministers
Explanatory	Year MP asked				
Variable	PQ	Continuous	N/A	N/A	N/A
Explanatory			A 4		
Variable	Gender	Categorical	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 2: The codes and code descriptions that were used to inductively analyse the

### 660 PQs

				<u> </u>
SI.No.	Code	Code Category	Code Sub-	Code Sub-category
	Category	Description	category	Description
1	Climate Change	The question refers to the	Impact	Question refers to the impacts of climate
	Aspect	impacts, adaptation, or		change
		mitigation related to climate change	Mitigation	Question refers to mitigation efforts to
	7	,		curb climate change
S			Adaptation	Question refers to adaptation in response to climate change

			Multiple	Question refers to more
				than one climate
				change aspect
			NA	Question does not refer
				to any of the above
				categories
2	Sector	The economic	Agriculture	Questions related to the
		sector(s) responsible		agriculture sector
		for/affected by	Coastal	Questions directed at
		climate change in		coastal regions and
		a particular	AY	dealing with sea level
		question		rise
			Energy	Questions related to the energy sector
			Health	Questions on the effect of climate change on human health
			Industry	Questions related to the industrial sector
		,	Water	Questions related to water resources and glaciers
			Aviation	Questions related to the aviation sector

			Livestock	Questions related to the livestock sector
			Multiple	Questions associated with more than one sector
3	Social Vulnerability	The question refers to differential impacts of climate change		Questions relates to differential impacts of climate change based on gender
		on different	Caste	Question refers to
		sections of		differential impacts of
		society		climate change based on caste
			Class	Question refers to differential impacts of climate change based on class
			Indigenous People	Question refers to differential impacts of climate change on indigenous people
	NO.			indigenous people

4	Source	The information sources that the question is based on such as a study, report, or article.		Questions quotes information from a particular institute  Question quotes information based on an international
			Newspaper article	Question quotes information from a newspaper
			Study	Question quotes information from a study
			Conference	Question quotes information based on the proceedings of a particular conference
			Multiple	Question quotes information from more than one source

**Table 3:** Content analysis of the PQs. PQs were coded for sources of climate change information, the aspects of climate change discussed, and mentions of vulnerable communities.

Codes	Sub-categories	Parliamentary Questions (%)
	Total	10
	Study	58.9 (% of the total information source)
Information	Newspaper article	22%
Source	Conference	11%
	Institute	5.6%
	International agreement	1.1%
	Multiple	1.1%
	Total	71
	Impact	27.6
Climate Change Aspect	Mitigation	23.5
	Adaptation	3.9
	Multiple	16
	Total	0.007
Economic and Social Vulnerability	Economically Disadvantaged	4 PQs
,	Women	1 PQ

Indigenous Peoples	1 PQ
--------------------	------

Table 4: Nature of PQs asked under each economic sector in relation to climate change aspect (impact, mitigation, and adaptation).

Economic Sectors	Climate Change Aspect (%)		
	Impact	Mitigation	Adaptation
Energy	0	43.6	4.8
Agriculture	38.3	21.8	66.7
Coastal	28.6	0	4.8
Health	13.4	1.8	0
Water	7.1	1.8	4.8
Aviation	0	9.1	0
Industry	0	7.3	4.8
Livestock	4.5	1.8	0
Multiple Sectors	8	12.7	14.3

0)2

**Figure 1**: The number of Parliamentary Questions asked by Ministers of Parliament during the parliamentary hour grouped by year (1999 to 2019).



