

An Iteration on the Prime Factors of a Number

R. ROHINI LAKSHMI

In this short note I study the behaviour of a function f defined in the positive integers exceeding 1 (namely, the set $\{2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$), when it is applied over and over again on itself. Here is its definition. Given a positive integer $n > 1$, we compute $f(n)$ as follows. First, we check whether n is prime or composite. If n is prime, then $f(n) = n + 1$. If n is composite, then we set $f(n)$ to be equal to the sum of all the prime numbers which divide n , each prime number being added as many times as it divides n . I illustrate how the definition works in Table 1.

n	Prime/composite	Prime factorisation	Computation	$f(n)$
5	prime	5	$5 + 1$	6
6	composite	2×3	$2 + 3$	5
7	prime	7	$7 + 1$	8
8	composite	2^3	$2 + 2 + 2$	6
9	composite	3^2	$3 + 3$	6
10	composite	2×5	$2 + 5$	7
12	composite	$2^2 \times 3$	$2 + 2 + 3$	7
20	composite	$2^2 \times 5$	$2 + 2 + 5$	9
100	composite	$2^2 \times 5^2$	$2 + 2 + 5 + 5$	14

Table 1

Keywords: function, prime number, prime factorisation, composite, iteration

Next, we iterate the function definition; that is, we start with some n , compute $f(n)$, then compute $f(f(n))$, then $f(f(f(n)))$, and so on, and we list the outputs in sequence. The results certainly come as a surprise; please see Table 2, where we have listed the outputs for various inputs. In every single case, the sequence ultimately settles down to $\dots, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, \dots$!

Starting number n	Sequence of outputs: $n, f(n), f(f(n)), \dots$
5	5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
6	6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
7	7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
8	8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
9	9, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
10	10, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
11	11, 12, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
12	12, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
20	20, 9, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
30	30, 10, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
50	50, 12, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...
100	100, 14, 9, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
1000	1000, 21, 10, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, ...
123456	123456, 658, 56, 13, 14, 9, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, 6, 5, ...

Table 2

You will notice that in Table 2, we skipped the numbers below 5; i.e., we did not explore the output when the starting numbers are 2, 3 or 4. Table 3, below, lists the outcomes in these cases.

Starting number n	Sequence of outputs: $n, f(n), f(f(n)), \dots$
2	2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, ...
3	3, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, ...
4	4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, ...

Table 3

What these two tables show (or suggest) is that no matter what the starting number is, the outputs ultimately settle down to either the unending sequence $\dots, 4, 4, 4, 4, \dots$, or the unending sequence $\dots, 5, 6, 5, 6, \dots$.

How may this be explained?



ROHINI LAKSHMI is a 9th grade student from Pushpalata Vidyamandir, Tirunelveli, Tamil Nadu. She is a Math enthusiast and a student of Bharatanatyam and the Violin. She also plays Tennis. During a session conducted by Shri Vinay Nair in her school on math explorations, she chanced upon some explorations done on Happy Numbers. This motivated her to explore iterations on her own, thus leading to this observation concerning Factor Iteration.