

A Relation between Polynomial and Exponential Functions

SOHAM PURKAIT

In this note, we hit upon an interesting property of polynomial functions that mimic the behavior of exponential functions.

Statement of the problem. Consider the following series of questions about a polynomial $P(x)$ which mimics the behaviour of an exponential function.

- (1) Suppose that P is quadratic, and $P(x) = 2^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2$. What is the value of $P(3)$?
- (2) Suppose that P is cubic, and $P(x) = 2^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, 3$. What is the value of $P(4)$?
- (3) Suppose that P is quadratic, and $P(x) = 3^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2$. What is the value of $P(3)$?
- (4) Suppose that P is cubic, and $P(x) = 3^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, 3$. What is the value of $P(4)$?
- (5) Suppose that P is a polynomial in x of degree n , and $P(x) = 2^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. What is the value of $P(n + 1)$?
- (6) Suppose that P is a polynomial in x of degree n , and $P(x) = 3^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. What is the value of $P(n + 1)$?

We shall prove an elegant result here which answers all such questions at once.

Theorem. Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial in x of degree n . Suppose that $P(x) = a^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$, for some number a . Then $P(n + 1) = a^{n+1} - (a - 1)^{n+1}$.

So if P is quadratic, and $P(x) = 3^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2$, then (going by the theorem) the value of $P(3)$ is $3^3 - 2^3 = 19$.

Keywords: Polynomial function, exponential function

Let us check this claim the ‘long way’ – by actually computing the expression for $P(x)$. Let $P(x) = a + bx + cx^2$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 3^0 = 1, \\ a + b + c &= 3^1 = 3, \\ a + 2b + 4c &= 3^2 = 9. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equations yield $b + c = 2$, and the first and third equations yield $2b + 4c = 8$. From these we get $c = 2$ and $b = 0$. Hence $P(x) = 1 + 2x^2$, so $P(3) = 19$, as claimed.

Proof. We shall prove the claim using the principle of induction, by induction on the degree of the polynomial.

Let us first establish the claim for polynomials of degree 1 (i.e., linear polynomials). Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial in x of degree 1, and suppose that $P(0) = 1$, $P(1) = a$. Then the claim is that $P(2) = a^2 - (a - 1)^2$, i.e., $P(2) = 2a - 1$. To prove this, note that since $P(x)$ is of degree 1, we have

$$P(2) - P(1) = P(1) - P(0), \quad \therefore P(2) = 2P(1) - P(0) = 2a - 1,$$

as claimed.

The key result used is the following.

Lemma. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial in x of degree n , where n is a positive integer. Define $g(x)$ by:

$$g(x) = f(x + 1) - f(x). \tag{1}$$

Then $g(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree $n - 1$.

For example, if $f(x) = x^3$, a polynomial of degree 3, then $g(x) = (x + 1)^3 - x^3 = 3x^2 + 3x + 1$, a polynomial of degree 2. (Editor’s note. It should be clear why this is true: the highest degree term in $f(x)$ gets cancelled as a result of the subtraction, so the degree of g is lower than that of f . In fact, the degree of g is $n - 1$, which is 1 lower than the degree of f . We shall say more about this lemma in the appendix.)

The induction hypothesis is the following.

Suppose that $f(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree k (a positive integer), such that $f(x) = a^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$, for some a . Then $f(k + 1) = a^{k+1} - (a - 1)^{k+1}$.

To prove the induction step, we must assume the above and prove the following.

Suppose that $g(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree $k + 1$, such that $g(x) = a^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k, k + 1$, for some a . Then $g(k + 2) = a^{k+2} - (a - 1)^{k+2}$.

Proof of the induction step. Let $g(x)$ be a polynomial in x with the stated properties: it has degree $k + 1$, and $g(x) = a^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k, k + 1$, for some a . We must compute the value of $g(k + 2)$. Now consider the function $h(x) = g(x + 1) - g(x)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= g(1) - g(0) = a - 1, \\ h(1) &= g(2) - g(1) = a^2 - a = a(a - 1), \\ h(2) &= g(3) - g(2) = a^3 - a^2 = a^2(a - 1), \\ h(3) &= g(4) - g(3) = a^4 - a^3 = a^3(a - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and in general:

$$h(x) = a^x(a - 1) \quad \text{for } x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k. \tag{2}$$

Define

$$f(x) = \frac{b(x)}{a-1}. \quad (3)$$

Then the function f satisfies the conditions of the induction hypothesis: it is a polynomial in x of degree k (by the lemma), and $f(x) = a^x$ for $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence $f(k+1) = a^{k+1} - (a-1)^{k+1}$.

Therefore we have, using the definitions of b and g :

$$\begin{aligned} b(k+1) &= (a-1) \cdot f(k+1) \\ &= (a-1) \cdot (a^{k+1} - (a-1)^{k+1}), \\ g(k+2) &= g(k+1) + b(k+1) \\ &= a^{k+1} + (a-1) \cdot (a^{k+1} - (a-1)^{k+1}) \\ &= a^{k+2} - (a-1)^{k+2}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. This proves the induction hypothesis. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Proof of the lemma

We must prove that if $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n , where n is a positive integer, and $g(x)$ is defined by $g(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$, then $g(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$.

Proof

Let

$$f(x) = ax^n + bx^{n-1} + \dots,$$

where $a \neq 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= f(x+1) - f(x) \\ &= [a(x+1)^n + b(x+1)^{n-1} + \dots] - [ax^n + bx^{n-1} + \dots] \\ &= [a(x+1)^n - ax^n] + [b(x+1)^{n-1} - bx^{n-1}] + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

In the expression $b(x+1)^{n-1} - bx^{n-1}$, the terms involving x^{n-1} cancel out, so the degree of that portion is less than $n-1$.

In the expression $a(x+1)^n - ax^n$, the terms involving x^n cancel out. The term involving x^{n-1} is ax^{n-1} , and this term survives, since $a \neq 0$ by assumption.

Hence the degree of g is $n-1$. \square

Box 1



SOHAM PURKAIT is currently a student in Class 11 of Sarada Vidyapith, West Bengal. He has a passion for problem solving in mathematics and physics. He also has a keen interest in Rubik's cube, coding, and sketching. He has qualified for Indian National Mathematical Olympiad (INMO) 2020. He secured a gold medal in the Kangaroo Mathematical Olympiad, and a silver medal in the Southeast Asian Mathematical Olympiad. He may be contacted at mathematicsandfun1729@gmail.com.